



ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of Checklist

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

Instructions for Applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can.

You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the question from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply". Complete answers to the question now may avoid unnecessary delays later.

Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

Complete this checklist for nonproject proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For nonproject actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: 2007 Gypsy Moth Eradication Project
2. Name of applicant: Washington State Department of Agriculture (WSDA)
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: Randy Taylor (360) 586-8456
3939 Cleveland Ave. SE; Olympia, WA 98501
4. Date checklist prepared: March 1, 2007
5. Agency requesting checklist: Washington State Department of Agriculture
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Between April 1 and June 30, 2007
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal if yes, explain.
Yes, the success of the project will be monitored by the placement of gypsy moth traps during the summers of 2007 and 2008.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The Washington State Department of Agriculture will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) which will be tiered to a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) prepared by the USDA-Forest Service and USDA-Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service entitled "Gypsy Moth Management in the United States: a cooperative approach, 1995" (FEIS). Relevant sections of the FEIS will be incorporated herein by reference. Copies of the EA and FEIS will be available for review at the Washington State Library, 6880 Capitol Blvd. S, Tumwater, WA. The USDA is taking part in Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultation with both the United States Department of Interior Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

The above mentioned Environmental Assessment (EA) which will be tiered to the FEIS. WSDA will consult with other agencies in order to implement appropriate mitigating measures with regard to Threatened or Endangered species and high quality ecosystems. Also, the Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultations being conducted by USDA.

The federal Clean Water Act (FWCA, 1972), and later modifications (1977, 1981, and 1987), established water quality goals for the navigable (surface) waters of the United States. One mechanism for achieving the goals of the Clean Water Act is National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits, administered by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The EPA has delegated responsibility to administer the NPDES permit program to the state of Washington on the basis of Chapter 90.48 RCW, which defines Ecology's authority and obligations in administering the wastewater discharge permit program.

Therefore the Department of Ecology has issued the following permit:
Permit NO: WA0039047. Title: "Invasive Moth Control National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Waste Discharge Permit" from the State of Washington Department of Ecology. In compliance with the provisions of Chapter 90.48 Revised Code of Washington as amended and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended (The Clean Water Act) Title 33 United States Code, Section 1251 et seq. Washington State Department of Agriculture is authorized to discharge to waters of the state in accordance with the special and general conditions that follow. The permit authorizes discharge of insecticides into surface waters of the state of Washington that are consistent with the terms and conditions of this permit for the purpose of invasive moth control.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

WSDA proposes to control and eradicate an infestation of gypsy moth in King County, Washington through implementation of the following actions:

- Three to five ground based applications of the biological insecticide Bacillus thuringiensis var. kurstaki (Btk) (Foray XG EPA Reg. No. 73049-46) will be applied to all foliage (according to label) within an area of approximately 25 acres.
- Bond, an adjuvant with spreader/sticker qualities may be utilized on ground treatments.
- The exact timing of the proposed action would depend on weather as well as larval and foliage development.
- Success will be monitored by an extensive gypsy moth trapping program employing pheromone-baited traps.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

King County, Washington, (S24 T22N R4E)
The proposed site is approximately 25 acres in the city of Kent.
Site boundaries are:
South: Willis St. (Hwy 516)
East: Valley Freeway (Hwy 167)
North: 200 ft. south of Meeker St.
West: West edge of K-mart parking lot
(See attached maps)

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. **Earth**

a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

40%

The majority of the site is flat. The Valley Freeway (east boundary of site) is built up resulting in small areas of steep slope, up to 40%, from the site up to the freeway. See attached topography map for more detail.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

The site is predominantly urban. The underlying soil is best classified as "silt loam" or a soil consisting of a friable mixture of varying proportions of clay, silt, and sand.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

No

e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Does Not Apply

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Does Not Apply

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Does Not Apply

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Does Not Apply

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

There would be spray droplets suspended in the air during and for a short time after the treatments.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Treatments will be limited to times when conditions do not promote prolonged droplet suspension.

3. Water

a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Yes. There is an unnamed, depressional wetland approximately 0.5 acres in size in the northeast corner of the proposed treatment area. (See attached map)

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Yes, the Department of Ecology has issued WSDA a NPDES permit (refer to Section A, item #10 of this SEPA checklist). The Permit and fact sheet may be viewed on the DOE web site at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/pesticides/final_pesticide_permits/invasive_moth/invasive_moth-index.html

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100 year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

b. Ground:

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

None

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Rain water runoff percolates into soil and is captured by city waste water systems.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Does Not Apply

4. Plants

a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other

shrubs

grass

pasture

crop or grain

wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

None

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

A search of the Washington State Dept. of Natural Resources Natural (DNR) Heritage Information System found no records for rare plants or high quality native ecosystems in the vicinity of this project. It is not anticipated that any Threatened or Endangered species will be affected by this proposal.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

The gypsy moth feeds on more than 500 different species of trees and shrubs. Establishment of the gypsy moth in Washington State would seriously damage our environment, economy, and quality of life. WSDA's measures to eradicate gypsy moth will preserve native plants, landscaping, and other vegetation.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

5. **Animals**

a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, **eagle**, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, **salmon**, **trout**, herring, shellfish, other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

The information provided by WDFW Priority Habitats and Species Program did not identify any threatened or endangered species on this site, however, one bald eagle nesting site was listed as occurring in the area. The listed nesting site is over one mile from the proposed site. The information provided by WDFW from their lepidopteran database found no butterfly species of concern in the immediate area or within a 5-mile radius of the area.

The Green River is approximately 520 feet (0.1 mile) south of the proposed site. WDFW lists the presence of priority anadromous fish including coho salmon, sockeye salmon, pink salmon, fall chinook, fall chum, winter steelhead, summer steelhead, and bull trout. Resident cutthroat is the priority resident fish presence listed by WDFW for the Green River.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes, Pacific flyway for migrating birds.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

WSDA will coordinate with other agencies in order to implement any appropriate mitigating measures with regard to the previously mentioned species. It is not anticipated that any Threatened or Endangered species will be adversely affected by this proposal as none are expected to be in the treatment area at the time of application. WSDA's measures to eradicate gypsy moth will preserve native plants, landscaping and other vegetation, thereby protecting the habitat needed by wildlife.

6. **Energy and Natural Resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Does Not Apply

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

Does Not Apply.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Does Not Apply.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Yes, exposure to the insecticide during mixing and application, and some potential for spills. The potential for health hazards associated with this project are addressed in the FEIS referred to in Section A, item #8 of this SEPA checklist. According to the FEIS, there is minimal potential for significant adverse impacts on human health in projects of this type. It is the intent of the WSDA to reduce that minimal potential through compliance with the label, and actions detailed in #2 below.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Some potential need for the Dept. of Ecology "spill response" in the event of a major spill.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Mixing and application of insecticide will be done in accordance with the label and only under the supervision of WSDA monitors. Containment and clean-up materials will be on-site during applications. Interested stakeholders will be supplied with information about the materials to be applied. Stakeholders will, also, be given the opportunity to be placed on a call-ahead list and notified of dates and times of activity and of measures they may wish to take to protect themselves and their property.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

None

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term noise from the application equipment, timing would be variable.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

WSDA will notify interested businesses (there are no residences) in the affected area of the dates and times of eradication activities.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Commercial (grocery store, department store, strip mall, restaurants).

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

There are seven structures on the site housing approximately 30 businesses.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

There are three zoning classes on this site:

- General Commercial (GC)
- General Commercial/Mixed Use (GC-MU)
- Medium Density Multifamily (MR-M)

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The comprehensive plan designation for most of the site is Mixed Use (MU). There is a small, undeveloped area along the south edge of the site designated Medium Density Multifamily (MDMF)

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Does Not Apply

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Yes. As defined in the City of Kent Critical Areas Ordinance, there is a wetland area approximately 0.5 acres in size in the northeast corner of the proposed treatment area. (See attached map)

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Does Not Apply

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

Does Not Apply

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

None

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Does Not Apply.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Does Not Apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Does Not Apply.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Does Not Apply

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Does Not Apply

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Does Not Apply

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? what time of day would it mainly occur?

Does Not Apply

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Does Not Apply

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Does Not Apply

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Does Not Apply

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

None

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

Displacement of any recreational uses would be transient.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

WSDA will notify occupants in the affected area about the upcoming eradication activities. WSDA offers a prior notification list upon which interested parties can request to be placed. Persons on the prior notification list receive calls and/or e-mails the day before applications occur.

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

Does Not Apply

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

Does Not Apply

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

None

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Does Not Apply

b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Does Not Apply

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Does Not Apply

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Does Not Apply

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

None

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None

TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLICANT

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

Does Not Apply.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

Does Not Apply.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

Does Not Apply

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Does Not Apply.

C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.


Signature: **Randy Taylor**

Date Submitted: **March 1, 2007**


2007 Kent Proposed Eradication Grid



 Proposed Eradication Zone



Puget Sound / Kent Vicinity




Kent Eradication Site

- Proposed Eradication Zone
- Washington Counties

0 4 8 16 24 32 40 48 56 64 72 80 88 96 104 112 120 128 136 144 152 160 Miles

2007 Kent Topography Map



Meeker St

King

Kent Des Moines Rd

-  Proposed Eradication Zone
-  King County Roads
-  5 Foot Contour Lines



Kent Wetlands Map

